

Pesticides residues testing in organic production

EURL Workshop Stuttgart – 30/9/2015

JAN VON KIETZELL, DG SANTE, FVO

Agriculture and Rural Development



Pesticides residues testing in organic production

- 1. Background;
- 2. Questionnaire sent to Member States;
- 3. Audits in 5 Member States in 2015 and 2016;





1. Background on FVO project

- Pesticide residue testing is only <u>one</u> aspect of controls in Organic Production;
- Legal requirement to sample 5 % of organic operators since 2014;
- FVO project to help Member States implementing effective controls for pesticide residue testing in organic production;
- FVO project in co-operation with EURL Almería;



Background – legislation

- NRLs and EURLs are established under Regulation (EC) No 882/2004;
- Control of Organic Production also falls under Regulation (EC) No 882/2004;





Background – Pesticide residue results from Food Safety Controls EFSA report for 2013

• Organic samples :

- Fruits: < MRL: 15.1 %
- Vegetables: < MRL: 15.1 %
- Baby food: < MRL: 7.5 %
- Conventional samples:
 - Fruits: < MRL: 67.9 %
 - Vegetables: < MRL: 36.8 %
 - Baby food: < MRL: 4.8 %

- > MRL: 0.5 %
- > MRL: 1.0 %
- > MRL: 1.0 %
- > MRL: 2.3 %
- > MRL: 3.5 %
- > MRL: 0.6 %



2. Questionnaire sent to all MSs

- Two parts:
 - Competent authorities
 - Laboratories
- Sent in December 2014;
- Replies received from 25 Member States;



Questions Competent authorities

- Germany and Spain sent separate replies for regions;
- 41 replies received;
- Data covering period from 2012-2014



Sampling includes....

- Food:
 - Regular control: 83 %
 - In case of suspicion:

83 % 78 %



- Feed:
 - Regular control:
 - In case of suspicion:

80 % 76 %



Sampling includes....

- Leaves:
 - Regular control:
 - In case of suspicion:
- Soil/water:
 - Regular control:
 - In case of suspicion:

44%

73 %

73 %

78 %



9



Sampling at stage of....

- Production:
 - Regular control: 85 %
 - In case of suspicion: 80 %

- Processing:
 - Regular control:
 - In case of suspicion:

85 % 76 %



Sampling at stage of....

- Retail:
 - Regular control: 61%
 - In case of suspicion: 56%
- Import:
 - Regular control:
 - In case of suspicion:

71% 63%



Number of samples per year

- Taken by Competent authority: 2,064
- Taken by Control Body/Authority: 20,820
- Number of operators sampled: **16,188**
- Percentage of non-compliant operators: 5.8 %
- Pesticide detections:
 - Non-authorised use: 2.9 %
 Spray drift: 2.9 %
 - Other reasons: 2.7 %



Laboratories....

- Designation of laboratories by CA:
 - Yes: 39 %
 - No: 61 %
- Scope of analysis defined by:
 - Competent Authority: 32 %
 - Control Body/Authority: 83 %
 - Laboratory staff: 24 %



Thresholds to decide on compliance

- Yes: 44 %
- No: 56 %
- Thresholds range from 0 to 10 ppm:
 - 0; 0.01 ppm, 0.02 ppm; 0.05 ppm, 0.1 ppm, 1 ppm, "10 ppm"
 - Possibly unit "ppm" not nderstood;



Questions Laboratories

- A total of 115 replies;
- Italy: 28 laboratories; Spain: 30 laboratories;
- A few laboratories used by several MSs;
- Results for 2012 2014



Results laboratories

- Average number of pesticides <u>offered</u> in test: 375
- Same methods as for conventional: 88 %
- Single residue methods offered: 60 %
- Lower reporting limits offered: 21 % (0.01 ppm, in cases 0.001 or 0.003 ppm)
- Part of reference laboratory network: 34 %
- Participation in EU Proficiency tests: 57 %



Participation of laboratory in NRL activity

- Training: 22 %
- Meetings: 40 %
- Circulation of info: 39 %
- Proficiency tests: 36 %
- None of these: 40 %



3. FVO Audits in five Member States

- UK (1/2015), Poland (6/2015), Germany (9/2015), Finland and Spain: 2016;
- Report for UK published:
 - http://ec.europa.eu/food/fvo/audit_reports/



Audits – initial results

- National Guidance on procedures for testing organic produce, including sampling;
- Minor weaknesses with sampling, which may affect representativeness of results;
- No explicit decision tree for investigating pesticide detections – different approaches exist;



Audits – initial results (2)

- On-site nvestigation for each pesticide detection not workable;
- Laboratories not part of official reference laboratory network EURL/NRL;
- Laboratories visited had sufficient resources and a range of methods in place, but...;



Audits – initial results (3)

- Official criteria for analysis are not established and communicated;
- Consequently, there are limitations of analytical methods <u>applied</u> regarding...
 - Range of pesticides in method: e.g. GC scan only;
 - Sensitivity of method: 0.01 mg/kg?
 - Quality Control Procedures applied: e.g. validation for one commodity only, one point validation at 0.1 ppm, insufficient confirmation;



Initial conclusions

- Sampling for pesticide residues implemented in Member States, procedures in process of development;
- Lack of designation and clear delegation of tasks for laboratories (which scope?, sensitivity?, Quality Control?): reduces effectiveness of controls;
- Laboratories not included in network of **Reference laboratories: lack of co-ordination** affects controls; 22



Initial conclusions (2)

- Different procedures exist in Member States on investigating pesticide detections: clarity is needed;
- "Case-by-case investigation" means intransparent procedures.



Next steps

- Findings presented at Brussels Regulatory Committee on Organic Production in July 2015;
- Competent authorities asked to designate laboratories and inform NRLs;



Thank you!

