




## Initial Report

Last Modified: 10/24/2011

1. What is your preference as regards the timing of EUPT-SRMs in relation to EUPT-FVs or EUPT-CFs?

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	EUPT-SRMs should be preferably carried out at the same time with an EUPT focusing on Multiresidue Methods (EUPT-FV or EUPT-C). Note: For this option the EUPT-SRM-Test-Sample may not be identical to that of the EURL-CF or EURL-FV conducted at the same time. Since the SRM compounds typically require separate methods, there may not be very much synergy using identical material anyway.)		43	19%
2	EUPT-SRMs should be preferably carried out in a time window where no other EUPT takes place.		95	41%
3	I have no special preference this regarding.		92	40%
	Total		230	

2. Here you can write any comments explaining your position:

Text Response	
We will do the PT within our routine analyses so it doesn't matter when it will arrive	
Two or more tests at time is problematic due to staff and instruments, because in many cases same people on the same instrument analyze the samples for MRM and SRM. beside we have to continue with our normal routine work.	
EUPT-SRMs should be preferably carried out at the same time with an EUPT focusing on Multiresidue Methods (EUPT-FV or EUPT-C) as long as the SRM is timetable and sample type is identical to the MRM sample, as before.	
Technical support for routine analysis and R&D on new technology and method development	
We have only one single residue method in use.	
Better to focus on one PT at a time allowing full consideration of the specific objectives of the tests being carried out.	
Timing should also be considered against National PT schemes e.g. UK FAPAS	
With Multiple PT at the same time we can optimize the time dedicated to PT samples.	
Maybe it could be cheaper for the organization of the PTs to send the samples together, just MRM sample and SRM sample. Anyway, this lab have no special preference.	
we prefer to do this kind of work outside of the "risky" time Jan - Apr	
The main point is that the laboratory should receive sufficient notice that the PT is taking place. Once this is done the timing is not that important.	
To split the workload in time	
It is difficult to do different EUPTs for same time for one person.	
I have no preference because our laboratory do not use SRMs.	
It is very important to get sufficient material specially to analyse for DTC but also for the other parameter.	
For now we don't use SRMs. However I think that we'll have to consider sample from PT like a routine sample and not a special sample, so for us is the same if you organize SRMs and FV PT at the same time As in routine work we have samples very different each other, which require different treatment, still for the PT can be necessary use a different analytical approach. I believe that a lab must be evaluated also from its skills to manage different situation in the same time.	
Since even the sample material is not identical, there is next to nothing common between SRM and MRM compounds and in such case my practice shows that two (more or less) different PTs at the same time is not a good idea. Especially considering that some laboratories (incl. ours) have quite limited instrumentation and staff.	
SRM's are not in the scope of our interest. In routine analysis, we have neither the personal nor the instrumental equipment for SRM's	
EUPT-SRMs should be preferably carried out in a time window where no other EUPT takes place since they require separate methods and probably different analytical equipment such as LC columns. In case of laboratories with one LC-MS instrument it is not easy to run multiresidue and single methods in the same time.	
The better part of SRM compounds are analysed by LC-MS. This instrument is very busy due to daily routine screening analysis of our samples and GLP field trial samples. Different timing of SRM can be better for us.	
our favoured time September/October	
As a risk assessor I'm not involved in the PTs	
in our laboratory SRMs are not implemented (lack of personnel and instruments)	
At the moment we cannot perform SRMs. We hope to obtain resources for implementing SRMs in the near future	
My lab (Sanitary Veterinary and Food Safety Directorate) usually didn't perform analysis using SRM, so I cannot take part in EUPT SRM.	
For our handling in the lab is this the best way, which we can manage. Thank you and best regards Renate Graf	
It is up to the laboratory people, André de Kok and crew regards, Henk van der Schee	
SRM methods take place maybe once or twice a month within this laboratory, and rarely does a sample require more than one or two methods. The EU PT SRM puts significant burden on the laboratory to conduct multiple methods within the required timeframe. If the FV sample was carried out at the same time it would be challenging to meet all requirements.	
NO COMMENTS	
No preference as long as the PT is signalled well in advance of its occurrence.	
As SRM compounds require separate methods, there is too short period to do accurate analysis for both EUPT-FV and EUPT-SRM samples	
Depending on the laboratory, analysis of EUPT-SRM sample may require several different methods to be involved. Laboratories need time to do this work.	
Our laboratory doesn't make analysis SRMs at all.	
Since our laboratory subcontracts the testing even of the PT we do not have a special preference with regards to timing of the PT rounds.	
It should preferably come with the cereal test.	
Our laboratory staff is small, therefore we would prefer to perform PTs at different time-windows	
It would be preferable to separate the SRM from the MRM, because it's time consuming and not all the analytes of the SRM are analysed routinely by the labs.	
Statistic	Value
Total Responses	37

3. How did you like the survey? Please move the button under the smiley if you liked or disliked the survey. To FINALIZE the survey, click on the FORWARD Button!

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	1		1	0%
2	2		6	3%
3	3		28	13%
4	4		97	43%
5	5		91	41%
	Total		223	

Statistic	Value
Mean	4.22
Standard Deviation	0.80
Total Responses	223