

Comments made by the survey-participants to the “Check Your Scope”-service:

Text Responses
simply excellent! :-)
I appreciate very much the idea and I think you did a great job.
The only one problem to implement new pesticides in our scope of analysis is lack of time and money for purchasing new standards of pesticides.
I think it is very useful list. We can have complete overview in the field of pesticide of plant origin. There are lot of valuable information in the Scope. I think there is little trick hiding that if there will be more different data in the scope, then it will be very large table. I think it is perhaps difficult to view/manage.
We appreciate the possibility to find the information about laws regarding
It is really huge amount of work You have done! Thanks!
"Check Your scope" is a good idea. For us the main obstacle to enlarge the list of target pesticides is the availability of adequate instrumentations and analysts involved in this activity (at present 3 analysts and only one GC-MS (single quadrupole). So, we have many problems but thanks to your work we have greatly improved our activity in the field of pesticide residues.
Seit einigen Monaten wird in unserem Labor auch ein GC-TOF in der Pestizid-Routineanalytik mit eingesetzt. Zur Zeit werden Zusatzversuche zur Ermittlung von Bestimmungsgrenzen durchgeführt. Zur Auswahl der Wirkstoffe wurde bereits die "Check Your Scope" Liste herangezogen. Nach Abschluss der Versuche würde ich Ihnen dann unsere erweiterte Liste zukommen lassen.
In the EUPT-FV-SM02 organized by CRL, there wasn't list of pesticides. This is a good reference to considerer.
Thank you for your studies and project.
Die "Check your scope"-Tabelle enthält eine Vielzahl von Informationen. Durch die Mitberücksichtigung der Metaboliten wird die Rangliste allerdings ein wenig unübersichtlich. Eine gesonderte Betrachtung der Metaboliten wäre hilfreich, jedoch lässt sich das Excel-Format bestimmt auch eigene Sortierungen zu. Insgesamt eine gute Idee, so kann man das eigene Labor im Vergleich zu vielen anderen internationalen Pestizidlaboren einschätzen.
We are sure that the document will be helpful for our lab. Right now we don't have any suggestions how to improve the 'check your scope'. We need more time to be able to fully estimate the significance of the document.
This is an official list to focus the pesticides you have to look for, these you should look for and those it's not necessary to spend money because they are not used in the matrices you are working with. This list should be implemented and remind to the labs before the end of the year to put the acquisition of "new" pesticides in the budget.

We appreciate your effort.
I find your effort very useful; I've recently bought some new pesticides, and, if I had known your work before, I would have ordered the new pesticides taking into account your list.
We didn't understand 'Check Your Scope' table point system, how can you calculate these values. If you can give to us information about this table (detailed points, anal info, tox info, residue findings...) we will be very pleased. Thanks for your helps
As I have already written it is really fantastic to have all this data on the same place. Thank you very much.
The value of the list is directly related to the size of the staff of analysts. The more scientists in the team the more valuable are the data, since you have time to deal with the data. It has to be mentioned, that if the team is small and consists as in our laboratory of 4 people including scientists (with more than 1,000 residue samples a year), the extension of the pesticide-scope for example is determined by administrative claims. One can only more or less respond well.
Very, very interesting! It will be also interesting to have information to get information about feed.
Initiative very interesting which light on laboratories on pesticides findings in EC and allow to focus on new pesticides. Thank you very much for this data base.
I think, it is a very good points-system.
It is unique opportunity do develop our laboratories scope. Thanks for your labor.
Especially the analytical information and properties are very useful!
We use a similar approach to define the list of pesticides we should search in drinking water, based on ADI, LD-50, ARfD and quantities of pesticides imported to XXX. I think it will be useful to define a priority list of pesticides we should analyze, in water, the most important food.
Very nice and useful tool (info from our point of view enough)
Very useful, congratulations!!! We try to enlarge the scope of analyts in our lab. Of course our priority is to cover the needs of the coordinated multi-annual Community control programme for 2010, 2011 and 2012, but with the crisis in the Economy... it is a moratorium between analytical standards and Euros!!!!
The Check your scope service is a very useful tool because it entails a lot of useful information in one source. It is very helpful to a laboratory which is reviewing its scope. While thanking you for this service I suggest that this source of information is regularly updated so that it remains a useful tool.
A very good and useful approach to make our scope more relevant! It would be nice to have an one number score (such as combined z-scores that would describe the current scope of the lab with respect to relevancy. It would enable to see the progress and would give the potential assessors an easier overview of the situation.
It would be a great help for the labs to add a column with information about the standards availability in the market (specially for metabolites cases).



Single Residue Methods

<p>It is very useful on the EU-coordinated plan, however we have an additional point to the ranking in XXX. We also rank the pesticides whether they are approved for use or used in XXX. But overall the survey is a good tool.</p>
<p>We appreciate the possibility to find the information about laws regarding</p>
<p>I greatly welcome this vast survey and thank you for advices we received for future enlargement of scope of our work.</p>
<p>I think that it is a very good idea to offer some structure to laboratories to help plan future method development for inclusion of new pesticides to the scope of analytes.</p>
<p>Congratulations on your great work. Sincerely, XXX</p>
<p>It is difficult to consider all aspects. If a lot of "Asian" vegetable is analyzed, substances like dicrotophos deserve a higher ranking, in my opinion.</p>
<p>I agree with the overall concept. However, the points system used for ranking should be discussed via this CRL/NRL/OFL network to further improve the ranking system. For example, my view is that probability of finding a pesticide should be given greater weighting compared to toxicology</p>
<p>I found "Check your Scope" really good, maybe I will have suggestions for improvements after experiencing more the database</p>
<p>Because of reorganization in our lab we are not still sure now if pesticides in fruits and vegetables will stay a priority . So the check list will be useful or not in our lab==> but the concept is interesting</p>